## **Evaluation Matrix**

Client: Oxford County Project: William St SPS Class EA Project Number: 52049-100

Criteria	Weighing			
Natural Environment	15%			
Social Environment	15%			
Heritage/Cultural Impacts	15%			
County Operations	15%			
Technical Feasibility	20%			
Cost	20%			
TOTAL	100%			



Evaluation Matrix												
		Alternative 1a	Alternative 2	Alternative 3A	Alternative 3B	Alternative 4A	Alternative 4B	Alternative 5A	Alternative 5B			
Criteria	Description	Do Nothing	Upgrade existing SPS for full projected capacity.	Construct new SPS for future flows at the location adjacent to Public Works yard to operate in conjunction with the William St SPS.	Construct new SPS for full projected capacity at location adjacent to Public Works yard.		Construct new SPS for full projected capacity at leastion adjacent to	existing William St.	Construct new SPS for full projected capacity at location adjacent to existing William St SPS property.		Legend	
Natural Environment	o Effects on vegetation, water quality, wildlife and aquatic habitat, welfands, terrestrial resources, woodlands, species at risk. o Indirect impacts of a SPS. o Impacts of spills or overflows.	0	0	3	2	7	7	5	4	Low Impact 7-10	Moderate Impact 4-6	High Impact 1-3
Total		0	0	0.45	0.3	1.05	1.05	0.75	0.6			
Social Environment	o Impacts on local community. o Anticipated impacts during construction. o Influence on community aesthetics.	0	0	3	5	5	8	2	3	Low Impact 7-10	Moderate Impact 4-6	High Impact 1-3
Total		0	0	0.45	0.75	0.75	1.2	0.3	0.45			
	<ul> <li>Potential impacts on cultural heritage resources, including built heritage resources, cultural heritage landscapes, and archeological resources.</li> </ul>	0	0	8	8	8	8	8	8	Low Impact 7-10	Moderate Impact 4-6	High Impact 1-3
Total		0	0	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2			1
County Operations	o Operation of the sewage pumping station (i.e., safety, maintenance, emergency response, etc.)	0	o	5	8	3	9	5	7	Good 7-10	Moderate Impact 4-6	Poor 1-3
Total		0	0	0.75	1.2	0.45	1.35	0.75	1.05			
Technical Feasibility	o Construction feasibility o Pump station operation (capacity constraints, operation).	0	0	2	8	2	6	3	6	High Feasibility 7-1	0 Moderately Feasible 4-6	Low Feasibility 1-3
Total		0	0	0.4	1.6	0.4	1.2	0.6	1.2			
Cost	o Anticipated capital, operating, and maintenance costs.	0	o	3	5	3	6	3	5	Low Cost 7-10	Moderate Cost 4-6	High Cost 1-3
Total		0	0	0.6	1	0.6	1.2	0.6	1			
	Total Scores	0	0	3.85	6.05	4.45	7.2	4.2	5.5			
	Rank				2		1		3			